# Advisor Compensation & Investor Outcomes

**Prepared for: CLHIA** 

Prepared by: Edwin L. Weinstein, PhD 2 November 2016



## What is the study about?

#### What the study aims to do

- Identify whether evidence is conclusive enough to serve as a basis for policy formulation.
- Assess the weight of the evidence and formulate conclusions about its meaning if there is sufficient evidence.
- Identify gaps in the research that would improve policy formulation.

#### It does NOT ...

- Advocate a policy
- Discuss the value of advisors
- Report on papers that are ostensibly research but largely opinion



## **Three Assumptions**

- 1. There is no such thing as a behaviorally neutral compensation scheme.
- Identifying a problem with one form of compensation does not automatically imply that one specific alternative is better.
- 3. Advisors must be compensated and financial institutions must be profitable.

## **Topics & Content**

## Summary & Conclusions

Investment Returns Advisor behaviour

Intl. Regulation

Flow of funds

Investor behaviour

Impact experience



#### 2. Investment Returns

#### **Findings**

- Funds that pay commission underperform
- 2. Distribution costs raise expenses and lower investment returns.
- 3. Advisor recommendations are sometimes biased in favour of more compensation for the advisor.

#### <u>Issues</u>

- No evidence that investors get higher returns with other compensation regimes.
- Behaviour of aggregates versus individuals
- Other forms of compensation besides commission have known biases – question of balance

### 3. Flow of Funds

#### **Findings**

- Compensation influences the flow of money into mutual funds.
- Different types of compensation have different influences.
- Advisors push investors into riskier funds.

#### <u>Issues</u>

- Affiliation influences flows under many types of compensation.
- Impact of non-commission compensation not well researched
- Motives for pushing riskier products not clear

## 4. Advisor Compensation in the US

#### **Findings**

- Revenue is seldom pure fee or pure commission.
- Advisor compensation is correlated with advisor experience and client wealth.
- 3. Licensure also affects product recommendations.
- 4. Services and time usage are linked to revenue sources.

#### Questions that follow

- Who decides on the compensation arrangement: Investor, Advisor or Firm?
- Despite the risk of bias from embedded compensation, why do commissions continue to thrive?
- Why do most advisors have a mix of methods of revenue generation?



#### 5. Investor Behaviour

#### **Findings**

- Investors cannot easily assess compensation.
- Wealth affects type of compensation available.
- Investor biases hurt returns and these biases can be confused with compensation impact.
- Compensation affects advisor effort to overcome bias.
- 5. Compensation affects investor uptake of advice.

#### **Issues**

- Relative impact of investor versus advisor bias unclear
- No studies compare advised people under fee-based versus commission-based regimes.
- Few studies look at impact at the individual level.

- Who should de-bias?
- What will disclosure do?



## 6. International Regulation

#### **Findings**

- Embedded compensation <u>does</u> lead to biased product advice.
- More low cost products bought w/o commission, but advisory fees rise. Overall impact unclear
- Commissions are only one form of influence on sales.
- 4. Investors still confused about charges.
- 5. Low income-low wealth segments find it hard to get advice.

#### **Issues**

- Total return to investor unclear
- Mis-selling remains an issue
- Still early days. Impact of alternative forms of compensation still not known.

#### My Observation

Low income-low wealth are a disproportionately small part of advisor clients even with commission. Hard to make enough without lending products or insurance to sell.



#### 7. Conclusions

- All forms of compensation have an impact but the negative effects of commission are welldocumented and must be dealt with.
- Several conclusions can be formulated.
  - Investors easily confused about charges
  - Behavioral biases won't be overcome
  - Can't judge outcomes solely by returns
  - People with less wealth will have less access
  - Mis-selling won't be eradicated

### 7. Identify Gaps

- Investment returns after all costs
- Product advice under other compensation
- Intangible benefits Non-monetary outcomes
- Impact of non-commission compensation
- Real comparisons of individuals not just focus on funds – It's a messy real world.

# What happens if commissions are banned? (No one says they will be)

- More sales of lower cost products less biased
- Reduced sale of third-party products
- 3. More use of technology and piecemeal advice
- 4. More client segmentation and tailoring services
- 5. Higher fees for full advisory service
- 6. More use of bonuses and other incentives
- Regulatory arbitrage products and compensation
- 8. Changes in the advisor mix
- ... many other impacts



## THANK YOU

For further information, contact:

edwin.weinstein@brondesbury.com

1.416.585.2414 x.246

